

When You Should Use Lists in Haskell (Mostly, You Should Not)

Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig, Germany

WFLP 2018

What's Wrong With This Program?

(e.g.,

<http://learnyouahaskell.com/recursion#quick-sort>)

```
quicksort :: (Ord a) => [a] -> [a]
quicksort [] = []
quicksort (x:xs) =
  let smallerSorted = quicksort [a | a <- xs, a <= x]
      biggerSorted = quicksort [a | a <- xs, a > x]
  in  smallerSorted ++ [x] ++ biggerSorted
```

- ▶ singly linked lists!
- ▶ append (++) copies the left argument
- ▶ never use this in production
- ▶ should you use it in teaching? it depends.

What Is Wrong With These Functions?

```
(from base:Data.List)
```

```
(\\) :: Eq a => [a] -> [a] -> [a]
```

```
union :: Eq a => [a] -> [a] -> [a]
```

```
intersect :: Eq a => [a] -> [a] -> [a]
```

- ▶ These specifications cannot be implemented efficiently. (they all need quadratic time)
- ▶ Before you use them, try *very* hard to come up with an instance of one of `Ord`, `Enum`, `Hashable`, `Serialize` then **replace** `Data.List` with `Data.{,Int,Hash}Set`

What Lists Are, And What They Are Not

```
data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)
```

- ▶ these operations are efficient:
add, read, remove the *first* element
(call the constructors, match on the constructors)
- ▶ all others (length, indexed access) are *terribly inefficient*
- ▶ Lists are potentially infinite streams (a.k.a. Iterators):
access each element once, in order, on demand.
- ▶ Lists are very bad collections:
access elements more than once, out of order.
- ▶ Exercise: why don't we store the length in each cell?

```
data List a = Nil | Cons Int a (List a)
```

Take-Home Messages of This Talk

- ▶ If your program accesses a list by index (with `(!!)`), then your program is wrong.
- ▶ If your program uses the `length` function, then your program is wrong.
- ▶ If your program sorts a list, then your program is wrong.
- ▶ If you wrote this `sort` function yourself, then it is doubly wrong.

- ▶ Use lists for streams,
not for random-access collections
- ▶ The ideal use of a list is such
that will be removed by the compiler.
- ▶ The enlightened programmer
writes list-free code with `Foldable`.

Where Do These Haskell Lists Come From?

- ▶ lists seem connected to functional programming from the beginning of time (= LISP, 1959)
- ▶ but the only reason is that LISP does not have algebraic data types (ADT), and uses nested lists for trees (well, for everything)
- ▶ textbook authors never noticed that ADTs had been introduced (ML, 1973) — Haskell (1990) was designed to accomodate such teaching . . . well,
- ▶ the defining feature of Haskell is *lazy evaluation*, and Streams are a perfect use case (and showcase)
- ▶ thus we have the confusion between
 - ▶ lists as container structures (obsolete, inefficient)
 - ▶ and lists as streams (important, useful)

Do We Have Good Containers? Plenty!

- ▶ sequences:
 - ▶ constant-time access, linear concatenation:
 - ▶ `Data.Vector` — arrays (with slicing)
 - ▶ `Data.ByteString`, `Data.Text`
(next: Why You Should Never Ever Use `String`)
 - ▶ logarithmic access, logarithmic concatenation:
`Data.Sequence` — size-balanced trees
- ▶ sets, maps:
 - ▶ logarithmic insert, member/lookup
`Data.{Set, Map}` — size-balanced trees
 - ▶ linear in key size: `Data.Int{Set, Map}` — tries
 - ▶ with efficient *bulk operations*: union, intersection, ...
for *point-free* programming (no explicit iteration)

Stream Processing in Constant Space

```
sum $ map (^ 2) $ [ 1 :: Int .. 10^8 ]
```

- ▶ separation of concerns
(consumer, transformer, producer)
- ▶ interleaved computation (on-demand evaluation)
- ▶ runs in constant space (intermediate data will be garbage-collected immediately)
- ▶ this is a *good* use of lists
(they represent streams, we access each element once)
- ▶ confirm by experiment
(./space +RTS -M80k -A10k -S)
for detail: <https://mail.haskell.org/pipermail/haskell-cafe/2018-September/129913.html>

Stream Processing in No Space

```
sum $ map (^ 2) $ [ 1 :: Int .. 10^8 ]
```

- ▶ compile with `ghc -O2`: get tight non-allocating inner loop

```
$wgo_s5we (w_s5w8 :: GHC.Prim.Int#) (ww1_s5wc :: G
= case GHC.Prim.==# w_s5w8 ww_s5w5 of {
  __DEFAULT ->
  jump $wgo_s5we
    (GHC.Prim.+# w_s5w8 1#)
    (GHC.Prim.+# ww1_s5wc (GHC.Prim.*# w_s5w8 w_s5w
```

- ▶ because of code transformations (rewriting the AST)

```
ghc .. --dump-rule-firings
Rule fired: map (GHC.Base)
Rule fired: fold/build (GHC.Base)
```

No-Stream Processing (How To Avoid Lists)

- ▶ **example: the sum of the elements of a set**

```
m :: Data.Set.Set Int
```

- ▶ **first (“obvious”) solution: `sum (S.toList m)`**
assuming `sum :: Num a => [a] -> a`

- ▶ **correct solution: `sum m` , because**

```
sum :: (Num a, Foldable t) => t a -> a  
instance Foldable Set where ...
```

- ▶ **avoid production of intermediate list in the source already**
(don't defer to compiler or garbage collector)

No-Stream Processing: How Does It Work

- ▶ `sum :: (Num a, Foldable t) => t a -> a`
`sum = getSum . foldMap Sum`
`class Foldable t where`
`foldMap :: Monoid m => (a -> m) -> t a -> m`
- ▶ `class Monoid m where`
`mempty :: m ; mappend :: m -> m -> m`
- ▶ `newtype Sum a = Sum { getSum :: a }`
`instance Num a => Monoid (Sum a) where`
`mempty = Sum 0`
`mappend (Sum x) (Sum y) = Sum (x + y)`
- ▶ `data Set a = Bin Size a (Set a) (Set a) | Tip`
- ▶ `instance Foldable Set where`
`foldMap f t = go t where`
`go Tip = mempty ; go (Bin l k _ _) = f k`
`go (Bin _ k l r) = go l `mappend` (f k `mapp`

Take-Home Messages of This Talk

- ▶ If your program accesses a list by index (with `(!!)`), then your program is wrong.
- ▶ If your program uses the `length` function, then your program is wrong.
- ▶ If your program sorts a list, then your program is wrong.
- ▶ If you wrote this `sort` function yourself, then it is doubly wrong.

- ▶ Use lists for streams,
not for random-access collections
- ▶ The ideal use of a list is such
that will be removed by the compiler.
- ▶ The enlightened programmer
writes list-free code with `Foldable`.