

$\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:point_product} \textbf{Polyhedral Constraints: Compatibility}\\ \textbf{Compatibility of } A w.r.t. rule (l \rightarrow r)\\ with   Var(l) \cup Var(r)   = k\\ where ([l]_A - [r]_A)(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \Delta_0 + \sum_i \Delta_i x_i,\\ \textbf{is certified by matrices } U_1, \ldots, U_k \in \mathbb{Q}_+^{d \times c},\\ \textbf{such that } \forall i : \Delta_i \geq U_i C \text{ and } \Delta_0 > \sum_i U_i B\\ \textbf{example: } D = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^3 \mid -x_2 + x_3 - 1 \geq 0\},\\ [f](\vec{x}) = (x_1 + 2x_2 + 1, 0, x_3 + 1),\\ [g](\vec{x}) = (x_1, x_3, x_3 + 1),\\ [fg - ff](\vec{x}) = (-2x_2 + 2x_3 - 1, 0, 0)\\ \textbf{take } U_1 = (2, 0, 0)^T. \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Polyhedral Constraints: Combined</li> <li>to prove termination of rewriting system <i>R</i>, determine</li> <li>matrix interpretation (weighted automaton)</li> <li>polyhedral domain (linear inequalities)</li> <li>as solution of a constraint system for validity of certificates for</li> <li>non-emptiness of the domain</li> <li>respecting the domain</li> <li>compatibility with rules</li> <li>implemented in termination prover Matchbox2015.</li> </ul>
Completeness of Certificates	Derivational Complexity
<ul> <li>Thm: automaton respects domain, is <i>R</i>-compatible</li> <li>⇔ certificates exist.</li> <li>Correctness ("⇐") is easily verified.</li> <li>Completeness ("⇒") follows from (inhomogenous) Farkas' Lemma.</li> <li>The Lemma (in one of many versions) says</li> <li>A linear inequalitiy <i>I</i> is implied by a system <i>S</i> of linear inequalities</li> <li>⇔ <i>I</i> ≥ some positive linear combination of <i>S</i>.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>by restricting the set of matrices allowed in interpretations (e.g., upper triangular), one restricts the growth of matrix products (e.g., to polynomial) and obtains bounds on derivational complexity</li> <li>polyhedral domain restriction is orthogonal to this idea, combination is sometimes helpful</li> <li>ex. R = {fg → ff, gf → gg}: given automaton is upper triangular, this proves dc(R) quadratic, this was known, but by different method (root labelling)</li> </ul>
Johannes Waldmann (HTWK Leipzig) Matrix Interpretations on Polyhedral Domains June 30, 2015 11 / 16	Johannes Waldmann (HTWK Leipzig) Matrix Interpretations on Polyhedral Domains June 30, 2015 12 / 16
Dependency Pairs and Polyhedral D. can be easily combined. — For Usable Rules: • need $C_E$ -termination: add fresh symbol $C$ , interpretation should be compatible with $C(x, y) \rightarrow x, C(x, y) \rightarrow y$ , • domain $D$ must verify: $x, y \in D \Rightarrow \sup(x, y) \in D$ , this is not always the case, e.g., $D = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid 0 \le x_1, 0 \le x_2, x_1 + x_2 \le 2\}$ $\sup((2, 0), (0, 2)) = (2, 2) \notin D$ • sufficient criterion: at most one coeff. < 0 • could use something better here	<ul> <li>Besults, Discussion</li> <li>method is correct, implementation works</li> <li>found some termination and complexity proofs where no plain matrix proof is known.</li> <li>challenge: improve implementation (improve constraint solver, better bit-blasting)</li> <li>challenge: could this method prove quadratic derivational complexity of z086? {a<sup>2</sup> → bc, b<sup>2</sup> → ac, c<sup>2</sup> → ab}</li> <li>open: extend method to other (exotic) semirings, using results from tropical geometry.</li> <li>announcements: ISR 2015, termCOMP</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>International School on Rewriting</li> <li>http://nfa.imn.htwk-leipzig.de/ISR2015/</li> <li>ISR 2015 at HTWK Leipzig, August 10-14.</li> <li>basic track: full introductory course, advanced track: 8 short courses</li> <li>you can still register your students — do it NOW! (early registration deadline: July 1)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Termination Competition 2015</li> <li>http://termination-portal.org/</li> <li>registration of solvers: July 1</li> <li>submission of new TPDB problems: July 7</li> <li>updates of solvers: July 15</li> <li>competition runs: August 5/6 (during CADE)</li> <li>informal meeting for competitors: tonight</li> </ul>