Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termination Analysis A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann RTA'13, Eindhoven	 Example (String Rewriting) Given a linear interpretation [·] for Σ = {a, b}, how would you compute [aabb], [bbbaaa]? Naive computation: [a[a[bb]]], [b[b[b[a[aa]]]]] total 8 multiplications More efficient: c = [aa], d = [bb], [cd], [b[d[ca]]] total 6 multiplications Concrete → symbolic computation (produce a constraint system that describes compatibility of unknown interpretation with rewrite system) Goal: Compress terms. Questions: W.r.t. which cost measure? Efficient compression algorithm (perhaps approximative)
The start, mining, surfacement, as maximality outpression or newning systems for termin MIA 13, Einonoven 1/19	The state, the borney, surfaces, or maximality compression or new sing systems for remain MTAT3, Eindnoven 2/19
 Example (String Rewriting) Given a linear interpretation [·] for Σ = {a, b}, how would you compute [aabb], [bbbaaa]? Naive computation: [a[a[bb]]], [b[b[b[a[aa]]]]] total 8 multiplications More efficient: c = [aa], d = [bb], [cd], [b[d[ca]]] total 6 multiplications Concrete → symbolic computation (produce a constraint system that describes compatibility of unknown interpretation with rewrite system) Goal: Compress terms. Questions: W.r.t. which cost measure? Efficient compression algorithm (perhaps approximative) 	 Example (String Rewriting) Given a linear interpretation [·] for Σ = {a, b}, how would you compute [aabb], [bbbaaa]? Naive computation: [a[a[bb]]], [b[b[b[a[aa]]]]] total 8 multiplications More efficient: c = [aa], d = [bb], [cd], [b[d[ca]]] total 6 multiplications Concrete → symbolic computation (produce a constraint system that describes compatibility of unknown interpretation with rewrite system) Goal: Compress terms. Questions: W.r.t. which cost measure? Efficient compression algorithm (perhaps approximative)
 Example (String Rewriting) Given a linear interpretation [·] for Σ = {a, b}, how would you compute [aabb], [bbbaaa]? Naive computation: [a[a[bb]]], [b[b[b[a[aa]]]]] total 8 multiplications More efficient: c = [aa], d = [bb], [cd], [b[d[ca]]] total 6 multiplications Concrete → symbolic computation (produce a constraint system that describes compatibility of unknown interpretation with rewrite system) Goal: Compress terms. Questions: W.r.t. which cost measure? Efficient compression algorithm (perhaps approximative) 	 Example (String Rewriting) Given a linear interpretation [·] for Σ = {a, b}, how would you compute [aabb], [bbbaaa]? Naive computation: [a[a[bb]]], [b[b[b[a[aa]]]]] total 8 multiplications More efficient: c = [aa], d = [bb], [cd], [b[d[ca]]] total 6 multiplications Concrete → symbolic computation (produce a constraint system that describes compatibility of unknown interpretation with rewrite system) Goal: Compress terms. Questions: W.r.t. which cost measure? Efficient compression algorithm (perhaps approximative)
Example (Term Rewriting)	Example (Term Rewriting)
$ \begin{array}{c} h \\ \hline \\ f \\ g \\ g$	$ \begin{array}{c c} h \\ \hline \\ f \\ g \\ g \\ g \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ g \\ g \\ g \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$





Example (Digrams)	Example continued
Example	(n) \Rightarrow $(h, 2, c)$
Compressed term list: ([h 2 c](x + z) = [[h 1 c] 1 s](y + z)]	() (2) (X) (Y) (2)
[h, 1, c], [h, 2, c], [[h, 1, c], 1, s]	
Expansion:	$\Rightarrow \qquad \qquad$
(h(x, c(y, z)), h(c(s(y), x), z)	Figure : The replaced digrams from the previous example.
A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 10 / 19	A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 11 / 19
Previous work (Lohrey et al. (2011))	Previous work (Lohrey et al. (2011))
 Unit cost (each node costs 1) models size 	 Unit cost (each node costs 1) models size
 Has been used to compress XML documents 	 Has been used to compress XML documents
 The exact compression problem is NP-hard Approximative, iterative algorithm: in each step 	 The exact compression problem is NP-hard Approximative, iterative algorithm: in each step
pick the digram with largest <i>savings</i> ► On-the-fly update of savings is possible (results)	pick the digram with largest <i>savings</i> ► On-the-fly update of savings is possible (results)
in linear time algorithm)	in linear time algorithm)
A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 12 / 19	A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 12 / 19
Previous work (Lohrey et al. (2011))	Previous work (Lohrey et al. (2011))
 Unit cost (each node costs 1) models size 	 Unit cost (each node costs 1) models size compression
 Has been used to compress XML documents 	 Has been used to compress XML documents
 The exact compression problem is NP-hard Approximative, iterative algorithm: in each step 	 The exact compression problem is NP-hard Approximative, iterative algorithm: in each step
pick the digram with largest <i>savings</i>On-the-fly update of savings is possible (results)	 pick the digram with largest savings ▶ On-the-fly update of savings is possible (results)
in linear time algorithm)	in linear time algorithm)
A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 12 / 19	A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 12 / 19
Providue work (Labrov et al. (2011))	Troo PoPair (Labray at al 2011)
FIEVIOUS WOIK (LUIIIEY EL al. (2011))	input: a term list $\overline{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$
 Unit cost (each node costs 1) models size compression, 	$a := \varepsilon$ (a list of digrams) while there exists a digram d with maxSize $(d, \bar{t}) > 1$
 Has been used to compress XML documents The event compression problem is ND hard 	let d be a digram with may Size $(d, \bar{t}) > \max Size (d', \bar{t})$ for all digrams d''
 Approximative, iterative algorithm: in each step 	let \overline{u} such that $\overline{t} \rightarrow_{\max \operatorname{Occ}(d,\overline{t})} \overline{u}$
 PICK the digram with largest savings On-the-fly update of savings is possible (results 	$t := u; d := (d, d)$ endwhile entruth $(\overline{d} + \overline{d})$
in linear time algorithm)	output: $(t \mid a)$ maxOcc (d, \overline{t}) : max. list of non-overlapping digrams
A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 12 / 19	$\begin{array}{ l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$

Our contributions	Our contributions
 Define non-uniform cost model, suitable for computing coefficients of linear interpretations Implement efficiently (keep the algorithmic idea of TreeRePair) Adapt to the dependency pairs transformation 	 Define non-uniform cost model, suitable for computing coefficients of linear interpretations Implement efficiently (keep the algorithmic idea of TreeRePair) Adapt to the dependency pairs transformation
A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 14 / 19	A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 14 / 19
Our contributions	Compression and DP Transform
 Define non-uniform cost model, suitable for computing coefficients of linear interpretations Implement efficiently (keep the algorithmic idea of TreeRePair) Adapt to the dependency pairs transformation 	 Dependency Pairs transformation creates (many) additional rules, in extended (marked) signature matrix interpretations for DP transformed systems use two-sorted algebra (base sort: vectors, top sort: scalars) interpretation of marked terms can be done in the top sort completely (starting from the top, vector-by-matrix multiplications only, not matrix-by-matrix) compress the original system as usual
A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 14 / 19	A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 15 / 19
Compression and DP Transform	Compression and DP Transform
 Dependency Pairs transformation creates (many) additional rules, in extended (marked) signature matrix interpretations for DP transformed systems use two-sorted algebra (base sort: vectors, top sort: scalars) interpretation of marked terms can be done in the top sort completely (starting from the top, vector-by-matrix multiplications only, not matrix-by-matrix) compress the original system as usual 	 Dependency Pairs transformation creates (many) additional rules, in extended (marked) signature matrix interpretations for DP transformed systems use two-sorted algebra (base sort: vectors, top sort: scalars) interpretation of marked terms can be done in the top sort completely (starting from the top, vector-by-matrix multiplications only, not matrix-by-matrix) compress the original system as usual
Compression and DP Transform	Experiments-Settings
 Dependency Pairs transformation creates (many) additional rules, in extended (marked) signature matrix interpretations for DP transformed systems use two-sorted algebra (base sort: vectors, top sort: scalars) interpretation of marked terms can be done in the top sort completely (starting from the top, vector-by-matrix multiplications only, not matrix-by-matrix) compress the original system as usual 	 We use restricted version of Matchbox (to isolate the compression effect) https://github.com/jwaldmann/matchbox Matrix interpretations as only non-cheap method Four settings: No compression, compression, Dependency Pairs w/o compression and DP with compression

Experiments-Settings	Experiments-Settings
 We use restricted version of Matchbox (to isolate the compression effect) https://github.com/jwaldmann/matchbox Matrix interpretations as only non-cheap method Four settings: No compression, compression, Dependency Pairs w/o compression and DP with compression 	 We use restricted version of Matchbox (to isolate the compression effect) https://github.com/jwaldmann/matchbox Matrix interpretations as only non-cheap method Four settings: No compression, compression, Dependency Pairs w/o compression and DP with compression
A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA 13, Eindhoven 16 / 19	A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 16 / 19
Performance Data (from TPDB)	Performance Data (from TPDB)
method cost CNF-size (var, cl.)	method av time ves # ves inst
$\begin{array}{c cccc} no \ compression \\ compression \\ dependency \ pairs \ (DP) \\ DP \ and \ compression \\ DP \ and \ compression \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c ccccc} 1.61 \cdot 10^6 & 4.04 \cdot 10^8, 3.23 \cdot 10^9 \\ 5.18 \cdot 10^5 & 1.30 \cdot 10^8, 1.04 \cdot 10^9 \\ 1.51 \cdot 10^6 & 1.92 \cdot 10^9, 6.22 \cdot 10^9 \\ 4.39 \cdot 10^5 & 1.11 \cdot 10^9, 3.63 \cdot 10^9 \end{array}$	Interfoldav. time yes# yes first.no compression11.9584compression with MCTreeRePair12.2628dependency pairs (DP)1.85681DP and compression4.10709
Table : Total cost and CNF-size with and without compression, for 3027 systems from TPDB	Table : Influence of compression on the matchbox termination
Both costs and CNF-size are approximately 1/3 of the size of their non- compressed counterparts.	prover.
A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 17 / 19	A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 18 / 19
A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 17/19 Discussion	A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 18 / 19 Discussion
A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin (17/19) 17/19 Discussion • Cost models matrix-by-matrix multiplications only • Matrix-by-vector (for absolute coefficients)? • Vector-by-matrix (for marked terms)? • and what about additions? • In general, matrix multiplication chain problem	A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann. Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 18/19 Discussion • Cost models matrix-by-matrix multiplications only • Matrix-by-vector (for absolute coefficients)? • Vector-by-matrix (for marked terms)? • and what about additions? • In general, matrix multiplication chain problem
A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 17/19 Discussion • Cost models matrix-by-matrix multiplications only • Matrix-by-vector (for absolute coefficients)? • Vector-by-matrix (for marked terms)? • and what about additions? • In general, matrix multiplication chain problem	A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann. Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 18/19 Discussion • Cost models matrix-by-matrix multiplications only • Matrix-by-vector (for absolute coefficients)? • Vector-by-matrix (for marked terms)? • and what about additions? • In general, matrix multiplication chain problem
A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 17/19 17/19 Discussion • Cost models matrix-by-matrix multiplications only • Matrix-by-vector (for absolute coefficients)? • Matrix-by-wector (for absolute coefficients)? • Vector-by-matrix (for marked terms)? • and what about additions? • In general, matrix multiplication chain problem 19/19 Discussion • 2010	A Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann. Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RX113, Eindnoon Discussion • Cost models matrix-by-matrix multiplications only • Matrix-by-vector (for absolute coefficients)? • Vector-by-matrix (for marked terms)? • and what about additions? • In general, matrix multiplication chain problem (A Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann. Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin (A State S

A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 19 / 19 A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 19 / 19

Discussion

- Cost models matrix-by-matrix multiplications only
- Matrix-by-vector (for absolute coefficients)?
- Vector-by-matrix (for marked terms)?
- ... and what about additions?
- In general, matrix multiplication chain problem

A. Bau, M. Lohrey, E. Noeth, J. Waldmann Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termin RTA'13, Eindhoven 19 / 19