







Extensions

- integers: shift the encoding. transform $x \in \{-k + 1, ..., k\}$ to x + k and encode as natural. keep min and max, modify + (shift back)
- ► exotic numbers: use one extra bit for $-\infty, +\infty$ (either one for arctic and tropical, both for fuzzy) keep monotonicity, \Rightarrow keep min and max
- Overflows: are not allowed (otherwise unsound)
 Either increase bit width as needed (in addition),
 or keep bit width and assert "¬ overflow".

Improvements

- low level: boolean equipropagation [MCLS11] (Bee) (detect instances of x ↔ y or x ↔ ¬y and propagate)
- high level: algebraic simplification [EWZ08] (Matchbox) (extraction of common factors in matrix products)
 before emitting the QF_LIA system, or the CNI

SMT12 11/14

SMT12 12/14

SMT12 13/14

Improvements

- Iow level: boolean equipropagation [MCLS11] (Bee) (detect instances of *x* ↔ *y* or *x* ↔ ¬*y* and propagate)
- high level: algebraic simplification [EWZ08] (Matchbox) (extraction of common factors in matrix products) before emitting the QF_LIA system, or the CNF.

The "Killer" Example

SMT12 10/14

Termination benchmark SRS/Gebhardt/19 $\{0000 \rightarrow 1011, 1001 \rightarrow 0010\}$ (open since 2006)

s terminating since tropical matrix constraint system

 $\begin{array}{l} 0_{\#}0^3 \geq 1_{\#}01^2 \wedge 1_{\#}0^21 \geq 0_{\#}010 \\ \wedge \ 0^4 \geq \ 101^2 \wedge 10^21 \geq 0^210 \\ \wedge \ (0^4 >_0 101^2 \ \lor \ 10^21 >_0 0^210). \end{array}$

is solvable for 8×8 , minisat needs one hour.

Experimental Results

using solvers satchmo-smt, Bee, Z3 on exotic constraints from termination problems

- 3 bit binary vs. 7 bit unary (equal range) outcome: unary is better
- Z3 (with DPLL(Simplex)?) vs. unary (with iterative deepening = increasing bit width) outcome: unary is better
- unary: straightforward (satchmo-smt) vs. preprocessed (Bee) outcome: not conclusive. (minisat preprocessor will run anyway)

Experimental Results

Michael Codish, Yoav Fekete⁴, Carsten Fuhs Exotic Semiring Constraints

nael Codish , Yoav Fekete⁴, Carsten Fuhs

The "Killer" Example

Termination benchmark SRS/Gebhardt/19

 $\{0000 \rightarrow 1011, 1001 \rightarrow 0010\}$ (open since 2006)

 $\label{eq:1.1} \begin{array}{l} \wedge \quad 0^4 \geq \ 101^2 \wedge 10^21 \geq 0^2 10 \\ \wedge \quad (0^4 >_0 101^2 \ \lor \ 10^21 >_0 0^2 10). \end{array} \end{array}$

is solvable for 8×8 , minisat needs one hour.

is terminating since tropical matrix constraint system

 $0_{\#}0^3 \geq 1_{\#}01^2 \wedge 1_{\#}0^21 \geq 0_{\#}010$

using solvers satchmo-smt, Bee, Z3 on exotic constraints from termination problems

- 3 bit binary vs. 7 bit unary (equal range) outcome: unary is better
- Z3 (with DPLL(Simplex)?) vs. unary (with iterative deepening = increasing bit width) outcome: unary is better
- unary: straightforward (satchmo-smt) vs. preprocessed (Bee) outcome: not conclusive.
 (minispt proprocessor will run apyway)

Experimental Results

e⁴, Carsten Fuhs

using solvers satchmo-smt, Bee, Z3 on exotic constraints from termination problems

- 3 bit binary vs. 7 bit unary (equal range) outcome: unary is better
- Z3 (with DPLL(Simplex)?) vs. unary (with iterative deepening = increasing bit width) outcome: unary is better
- unary: straightforward (satchmo-smt) vs. preprocessed (Bee) outcome: not conclusive. (minisat preprocessor will run anyway)

SMT12 13 / 14 Michael Codish , Yoav Fekete⁴, Carsten Fuh: Exotic Semiring Constraints

SMT12 12/14

SMT12 13 / 14

Why and When does this Work? Exotic termination constraint systems contain $>, \ge, \max, \min, +, 0$ (and no number > 0). So it seems quite likely that solvability equals solvability in small numbers.
Why does unary seem to be better than binary? Better propagation?
Why does DPLL(T) not work (fast enough)? Lots of disjunctions and booleans.
When does unary bitblasting not work? With "large" constants. (As most QF_LIA benchmarks have.)
Michael Codish , Yoav Fekete ⁴ , Carsten Fuh: Exotic Semiring Constraints SMT12 14 / 14
Why and When does this Work?
Exotic termination constraint systems contain $>, \ge, \max, \min, +, 0$ (and no number > 0). So it seems quite likely that solvability equals solvability in small numbers.
Why does unary seem to be better than binary? Better propagation?
Why does DPLL(T) not work (fast enough)?
Lots of disjunctions and booleans.