Starexec for Termination Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig, Germany SE'12	Summary: Termination Competitions         Automatically decide termination of programs         in various models of computation.         yearly since 2003, 23 solvers, 9 categories, 36         people, http://www.termination-portal.org/         basic model (easy for Star-Exec):         • input (benchmark): a program         • out: YES/NO + proof trace (informal or formal)         extensions (challenging for Star-Exec?):         • (polynomial) derivational complexity         • machine verification of formal proof traces <i>as part of the competition</i>
Summary: Termination Competitions         Automatically decide termination of programs in various models of computation. yearly since 2003, 23 solvers, 9 categories, 36 people, http://www.termination-portal.org/ basic model (easy for Star-Exec):         • input (benchmark): a program         • out: YES/NO + proof trace (informal or formal)         extensions (challenging for Star-Exec?):         • (polynomial) derivational complexity         • machine verification of formal proof traces as part of the competition	<ul> <li>Summary: Termination Competitions</li> <li>Automatically decide termination of programs in various models of computation. yearly since 2003, 23 solvers, 9 categories, 36 people, http://www.termination-portal.org/ basic model (easy for Star-Exec):</li> <li>input (benchmark): a program</li> <li>out: YES/NO + proof trace (informal or formal) extensions (challenging for Star-Exec?):</li> <li>(polynomial) derivational complexity</li> <li>machine verification of formal proof traces as part of the competition</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Termcomp Categories</li> <li>given by (not completely orthogonal) combination of</li> <li>models of computation: term rewriting (first order, higher order), string rewriting, Prolog, Haskell, Java Bytecode.</li> <li>variants of models:         <ul> <li>e.g., Prolog with/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>question:                 <ul> <li>termination: YES/NO</li> <li>polynomial derivational complexity: YES(degree)/NO</li> <li>proof trace: formal or not</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Determination of Categories</li> <li>given by (not completely orthogonal) combination of</li> <li>models of computation: term rewriting (first order, higher order), string rewriting, Prolog, Haskell, Java Bytecode.</li> <li>variants of models: e.g., Prolog with/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>question:</li> <li>proof trace: formal or not</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Termcomp Categories</li> <li>given by (not completely orthogonal) combination of</li> <li>models of computation: term rewriting (first order, higher order), string rewriting, Prolog, Haskell, Java Bytecode.</li> <li>variants of models: e.g., Prolog with/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>question: <ul> <li>termination: YES/NO</li> <li>polynomial derivational complexity: YES(degree)/NO</li> </ul> </li> <li>proof trace: formal or not</li> </ul>	<ul> <li><b>Termcomp Categories</b></li> <li>given by (not completely orthogonal) combination of</li> <li>models of computation: term rewriting (first order, higher order), string rewriting, Prolog, Haskell, Java Bytecode.</li> <li>variants of models: e.g., Prolog with/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>question: <ul> <li>termination: YES/NO</li> <li>polynomial derivational complexity: YES(degree)/NO</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<section-header><ul> <li>Determinant of the second s</li></ul></section-header>	<ul> <li>Determination: YES/NO</li> <li>e.g. prolog with/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog with/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li> <li>e.g. prolog mith/without Cut, rewriting modulo theory (AC,), restricted by strategy</li></ul>
termcomp platform and data	termcomp platform and data
<ul> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li> <li>Computational Logic at U Innsbruck Austria</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li> <li>Computational Logic at U Innsbruck Austria</li> </ul>
▶ used in competitions since 2008	<ul> <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li></ul>	<ul> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li></ul>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li>
<ul> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li></ul>	hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP.	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP.
▶ we are willing to share experience and code	▶ we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i>
Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig, Germar Starexec for Termination SE'12 4 / 11	Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig, German Starexec for Termination SE'12 4 / 11
termeann platform and data	tormoomp platform and data
termcomp platform and data	termcomp platform and data
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck Austria	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck Austria
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li>
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (iob pairs), 10750 formal proof traces	results (iop pairs), 10750 formal proof traces
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li>
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP.	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP.
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li>	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li>
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li>	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share experience and code</li>
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li>	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li>
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li>	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li> <li>termcomp platform and data</li>
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li> <li>Varence Waldmann, HTWK Leipzg, Germar</li> <li>Staree for Termination</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li>	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li> <li>UNANCE MARCH MICLED COMP platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li>	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li> <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li>
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li> <li>Uneverse Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig, Germar</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li>	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB) 83 solver versions 114194	benchmarks (TPDB) 83 solver versions 114194
<b>termcomp platform and data</b> <ul> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li> <b>termcomp platform and data</b> <ul> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share experience and code</li> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li>	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li>
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li>	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP.	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP.
<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>	<ul> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li></ul>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and code</li> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li>	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share <i>experience</i> and <i>code</i></li> <li>termcomp platform and data</li> <li>developed and hosted at research group</li>
Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>	Computational Logic at U Innsbruck, Austria <li>used in competitions since 2008</li> <li>cummulative for 2008–2011 competitions: 8950</li>
benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194	benchmarks (TPDB), 83 solver versions, 114194
results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>	results (job pairs), 10750 formal proof traces <li>a "full run" took 419 hours</li> <li>hard/software: machine with 16 cores, CentOS,</li>
JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share experience and code</li>	JBoss/Seam, Postgres, JSP. <li>we are willing to share experience and code</li>

<ul> <li>Nice to have (and we already have it)</li> <li>data model: <ul> <li>Solver is a set of Implementations</li> <li>solver is registered for competition category</li> <li>Team is a set of persons</li> <li>team maintains set of solvers</li> <li>teams have quotas (CPU time, disk space)</li> </ul> </li> <li>after upload of new implementation, it is automatically run on a subset of benchmarks</li> <li>displays: <ul> <li>termcomp start page show category summaries of current competition</li> <li>and "news feed" of 10 most recent "jobs pairs"</li> <li>category results shown as table, configurable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Nice to have (and we already have it)</li> <li>• data model: <ul> <li>Solver is a set of <i>Implementations</i></li> <li>solver is registered for competition category</li> <li>7eam is a set of persons</li> <li>team maintains set of solvers</li> <li>teams have quotas (CPU time, disk space)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• after upload of new implementation, it is automatically run on a subset of benchmarks</li> <li>• displays: <ul> <li>termcomp start page show category summaries of current competition</li> <li>and "news feed" of 10 most recent "jobs pairs"</li> <li>category results shown as table, configurable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Nice to have (and we already have it)</li> <li>data model: <ul> <li>Solver is a set of Implementations</li> <li>solver is registered for competition category</li> <li>Team is a set of persons</li> <li>team maintains set of solvers</li> <li>teams have quotas (CPU time, disk space)</li> </ul> </li> <li>after upload of new implementation, it is automatically run on a subset of benchmarks</li> <li>displays: <ul> <li>termcomp start page show category summaries of current competition</li> <li>and "news feed" of 10 most recent "jobs pairs"</li> <li>category results shown as table, configurable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Important to have: Validation</li> <li>termination competition consists of <i>two phases</i>:</li> <li>solvers run on benchmarks, emit proof traces</li> <li><i>matcher</i> (postproc.) checks that trace matches benchmark</li> <li><i>validators</i> run on traces</li> <li>(non)termination proof trace ≈ model, or unsat core.</li> <li>advance formalized mathematics (validator source code is extracted from formal proof)</li> <li>discover bugs in solvers</li> <li>We (termcomp) definitely need it, and others (SAT/SMT) should want it.</li> </ul>
Important to have: Validation         termination competition consists of two phases:         1. solvers run on benchmarks, emit proof traces         2. matcher (postproc.) checks that trace matches benchmark         3. validators run on traces         (non)termination proof trace ≈ model, or unsat core. automatic validation is highly recommended:         • advance formalized mathematics (validator source code is extracted from formal proof)         • discover bugs in solvers         We (termcomp) definitely need it, and others (SAT/SMT) should want it.	<ul> <li>Important to have: Validation</li> <li>termination competition consists of <i>two phases</i>:</li> <li>solvers run on benchmarks, emit proof traces</li> <li><i>matcher</i> (postproc.) checks that trace matches benchmark</li> <li><i>validators</i> run on traces</li> <li>(non)termination proof trace ≈ model, or unsat core. automatic validation is highly recommended:</li> <li>advance formalized mathematics (validator source code is extracted from formal proof)</li> <li>discover bugs in solvers</li> <li>We (termcomp) definitely need it, and others (SAT/SMT) should want it.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Important to have: detailed scoring</li> <li>for complexity categories, solvers answer YES (d<sub>1</sub>, d<sub>2</sub>) meaning Ω(n<sup>d<sub>1</sub></sup>) ∩ O(n<sup>d<sub>2</sub></sup>).</li> <li>Scoring for each benchmark depends on inclusion between ensurement of endows</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>How could this be realized?</li> <li>Star-Exec's "post-processor" model extended:</li> <li><i>individual</i> post-processor should see <ul> <li>(stdout separately from stderr)</li> <li>also the original benchmark (to create or check the validation problem for the second stage)</li> <li><i>bulk (display/scoring)</i> post-processor should</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Yes We Want This <ul> <li>already planned for Star-Exec, and we are looking forward to using it:</li> <li>stable and session/login-independent URLs for each data item:</li> <li>benchmark, solver, job (collection), job pair</li> <li>flexible query language, for the full data set.</li> <li>e.g., "the 10 smallest problems from category X that were unsolved in all previous competitions", "all results where solver Y's output contains the words Z"</li> <li>should offer queries everywhere (at each point in the GUI where some subset is selected)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>And some more</li> <li>helpful for competition organizers, platform users (and their students):</li> <li>upload (and some checking) of new benchmarks (to be considered for future competitions)</li> <li>(controlled, random) selection of benchmarks for competitions</li> <li>import of legacy data (results of previous competitions), so it can be queried</li> <li>"on-the-fly" jobs: edit/upload a benchmark and run some solvers (cf. http://rise4fun.com/z3), store interesting (small and hard) submissions</li> </ul>
Iohannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig, Germar Starexec for Termination SE12 9 / 11	Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig, Germar Starexec for Termination SE'12 10 / 11
Conclusion	
<ul> <li>We (Termination) support the idea behind Star-Exec, and intend to use it.</li> <li>The current design does not fit all of the Termination Competition categories <ul> <li>second stage for validation,</li> <li>scoring for complexity</li> </ul> </li> <li>probably there are manual (or script-able) work-arounds</li> <li>We understand that resources (developer time)</li> </ul>	

Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig, Germar Starexec for Termination SE'12 11 / 11

11

ŋ