

Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termination Analysis

Johannes Waldmann¹

July 12, 2012

¹Fakultät IMN, HTWK Leipzig, Germany

Johannes Waldmann () Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termination Analysis July 12, 2012 1 / 12

Motivation

- ▶ rewriting system: set of pairs of terms with variables
- ▶ linear interpretation: mapping of function symbol f to linear function $[f] : (x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto f_0 + f_1 \cdot x_1 + \dots + f_k \cdot x_k$
- ▶ goal: given the interpretation, compute efficiently (e.g., using fewest multiplications) the interpretations of the set of terms occurring as lhs and rhs of rules.
- ▶ application: efficient (symbolic) computation \Rightarrow small constraint program for the coefficients, \Rightarrow constraint solver can solve this fast.

Johannes Waldmann () Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termination Analysis July 12, 2012 2 / 12

Example (no compression needed)

signature $\Sigma = \{a/1, b/1\}$
 rewriting system $\{a(b(x)) \rightarrow b(a(x))\}$
 symbolic linear interpretation (over \mathbb{N})
 $[a] = y \mapsto a_0 + a_1 \cdot y, [b] = y \mapsto b_0 + b_1 \cdot y$
 interpretation of lhs and rhs:
 $[ab] = y \mapsto a_0 + a_1 \cdot b_0 + a_1 \cdot b_1 \cdot y,$
 $[ba] = y \mapsto b_0 + b_1 \cdot a_0 + b_1 \cdot a_1 \cdot y$
 constraints for termination:
 ▶ monotonicity $a_0 \geq 0, a_1 \geq 1, b_0 \geq 0, b_1 \geq 1$
 ▶ compatibility $a_0 + a_1 b_0 > b_0 + b_1 a_0, a_1 b_1 \geq b_1 a_1$
 one solution: $a_0 = 0, a_1 = 2, b_0 = 1, b_1 = 1$

Johannes Waldmann () Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termination Analysis July 12, 2012 3 / 12

Example (where compression helps)

- ▶ signature $\Sigma = \{a/1, b/1\}$
 rewriting system $\{aabb(x) \rightarrow bbbaaa(x)\}$
- ▶ naively, $3 + 5 = 8$ substitutions (each with 2 multiplications, 1 addition)
- ▶ should compute $c = aa, d = bb, \text{lhs} = cd, \text{rhs} = bdca$
 $1 + 1 + 1 + 3 = 6$ substitutions
- ▶ the constraint system has a solution in the domain \mathbb{N}^4 (that is, $a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{N}^{4 \times 4}; a_0, b_0 \in \mathbb{N}^{4 \times 1}$)

Johannes Waldmann () Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termination Analysis July 12, 2012 4 / 12

A Model for Compression

- ▶ linear, straight-line (singleton) context-free tree grammar in Chomsky normal form: rules have the form $h(\dots) \rightarrow f(\dots, g(\dots), \dots)$
- ▶ (Larsson, Moffat (for strings) 2000; Lohrey, Maneth, Mennicke (for trees) 2010)
- ▶ can achieve exponential compression: $a^8 \Rightarrow (a^2)^4 \Rightarrow ((a^2)^2)^2$
- ▶ “ $\exists G$ with $L(G) = \{t\}$ and $|G| \leq B?$ ” \in NPc
- ▶ efficient (linear-time) approximation algorithm

Johannes Waldmann () Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termination Analysis July 12, 2012 5 / 12

The Tree Re-Pair Algorithm

construct SCFTG by repeatedly substituting maximal set of non-overlapping occurrences of a pair of function symbols

$$f(\dots, g(\dots), \dots) \Rightarrow h(\dots, \dots, \dots)$$

$w = ooxooxo$, cost (# of multiplications): 7
 patterns/no-occurrences: $oo : 2, ox : 2, xo : 2$
 replace $\xrightarrow{oo \rightarrow 1} 1xo1xo \xrightarrow{xo \rightarrow 2} 1212 \xrightarrow{12 \rightarrow 3} 33$.

result: $(33, 3 \rightarrow 12, 1 \rightarrow oo, 2 \rightarrow xo)$, cost: 4

Johannes Waldmann () Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termination Analysis July 12, 2012 6 / 12

Tree Re-Pair properties

- ▶ linear time
 clever update of store of occurrences of pairs
- ▶ non-deterministic
 choice of pair,
 choice of overlapping substitution
- ▶ guaranteed approximation ratio?

Johannes Waldmann () Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termination Analysis July 12, 2012 7 / 12

Tree Re-Pair Approximation Quality

$w = ooxooxo$, cost (# of multiplications): 7
 pattern occurrences: $oo : 2, ox : 2, xo : 2$
 should replace $\xrightarrow{oo \rightarrow 1} 1xo1xo \xrightarrow{xo \rightarrow 2} 1212 \xrightarrow{12 \rightarrow 3} 33$.
 cost: 4

substitute “wrong” instance of oo :

$ooxooxo \xrightarrow{oo \rightarrow 1} 1x1oxo$
 no more repeated pairs.
 cost: 6

cf. Charikar et al (2005): *The smallest grammar problem* (Sect. D, G) $O((n/\log n)^{2/3}) \cap \Omega(\sqrt{\log n})$

Johannes Waldmann () Compression of Rewriting Systems for Termination Analysis July 12, 2012 8 / 12

Experimental Data

- ▶ a tree re-pair algorithm is used in the termination prover Matchbox
Endrullis, Waldmann, Zantema: *Matrix Interpretations for Proving Termination of Term Rewriting*. IJCAR06, JAR08 as a preprocessing step
- ▶ on “average” termination problems (from TPDB), this cuts size of constraint system in half, and also the time for the solver.
(no complete and exact measurements)
- ▶ the implementation is naive (= quadratic), cannot handle some large benchmarks
- ▶ the underlying cost function is naive (= wrong)

What is the Cost of a Tree?

ranked signature Σ , $t \in \text{Term}(\Sigma, V)$.
denote $|t|_V :=$ no. of diff. variables in t .

linear interpretation $\Sigma \rightarrow (D^* \rightarrow D)$ where $D = B^n$
 $[f] : (x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto f_0 + f_1 \cdot x_1 + \dots + f_k \cdot x_k$
maps $t \in \text{Term}(\Sigma, V)$ to $|t|_V$ -ary function

compute bottom-up: $\text{cost}(f(t_1, \dots, t_k)) =$ sum of

- ▶ absolute part: k multiplications (matrix \times vector), k additions (vector)
- ▶ linear coefficients: $\sum\{|t_i|_V : t_i \notin V\}$ multiplications (m. \times m.), some additions (m.)

cost is dominated by (matrix \times matrix) multipl. (?)

What is the Cost of a Grammar?

= sum of costs of the right-hand sides of productions

... and what are the implications?

- ▶ cost of tree
depends on (TRS) variables in subtrees
- ▶ cost change cause by (inverse) application of a production depends on the position
example: pattern $(f, 2, g)$
saves a lot of work in $f(x, g(h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)))$,
but nothing in $f(x, g(h'(z)))$.

Conclusion

Observation:

- ▶ tree compression is helpful in implementations of automated termination analysis

Suggestion:

- ▶ XML compressors should be run on TPDB
<http://www.termination-portal.org/wiki/TPDB>
(both termination and certification benchmarks)
contains huge and deeply nested trees

Work to do:

- ▶ modify tree re-pair for our cost function
- ▶ bound the approximation ratio