Arctic Termination

Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig

Standard Matrix Method: Example

This works because ...

- $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot)$ is a semi-ring (associativity, distributivity) \Rightarrow matrices form semi-ring
- (ℕ, +, ·, <) is an *ordered* semi-ring (plus and times are weakly monotonic in each argument)
- $(\mathbb{N},<)$ is well-founded
- plus is strict: $\forall x < y, z : x + z < y + z$,
- times is strict except at 0: $\forall x < y, z \neq 0 : x \cdot z < y \cdot z$

The Arctic Semi-Ring: Definition

- a.k.a. the (max,plus) algebra
 - domain: $\mathbb{A}:=\{-\infty\}\cup\mathbb{N}$,
 - operations:
 - Addition $x \oplus y = \max(x, y)$, neutral: $-\infty$
 - Multiplication $x \otimes y = x + y$, neutral: 0.
- Trivia: why the name?
 - (min,plus) on ℕ ∪ {+∞} is the *tropical* semi-ring, in honour of inventor Imre Simon, living in Brazil
 - (max,plus) is the opposite of (min,plus)

The Arctic Semi-Ring: Properties

- domain \mathbbm{A} is well-founded: $-\infty < 0 < 1 < \ldots$
- multiplication (plus) is strict except at $-\infty$,
- addition (max) is not strict $3 < 4, 3 \oplus 5 \not< 4 \oplus 5$
- ... but $2 < 3, 4 < 5, 2 \oplus 4 < 3 \oplus 5$

Operation \oplus is called *half-strict*:

$$\forall a < b, c < d : a \oplus c < b \oplus d.$$

Arctic Matrices for Termination

- interpret letter $c \in \Sigma$ by matrix [c] from domain $\{M : M \in \mathbb{A}^{d \times d}, -\infty < M_{1,1}\}.$
- order domain by P > Q iff $\forall i, j : P_{i,j} > Q_{i,j} \lor P_{i,j} = -\infty = Q_{i,j}.$
- let $P \ge Q$ denote $\forall i, j : P_{i,j} \ge Q_{i,j}$.

Theorem:

If for each $(l \rightarrow r) \in R : [l] > [r]$, and for each $(l \rightarrow r) \in S : [l] \ge [r]$, then R is terminating relative to S.

An Arctic Termination Proof

$$[a] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad [b] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\infty \\ -\infty & -\infty \end{pmatrix}$$
$$[a^{2}] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \max(0+0, 0+1) \max(0+0, 0+1) \\ \max(1+0, 1+1) \max(1+0, 1+1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$> \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = [aba]$$

this proves $SN(a^2 \rightarrow aba)$...and $SN(R/\{b \rightarrow b^2\})$ since $[b^2] = [b]$.

Workshop on Termination, Paris, June 07 - p.7/11

Arctic Matrices and DP Method

use $\mathbb{A}^{1 \times d}$ (row vectors) for top symbols. z086: $R = \{a^2 \rightarrow bc, b^2 \rightarrow ac, c^2 \rightarrow ab\}$ essentially DP(R) is $\{Aa \rightarrow Bc, Bb \rightarrow Ac\}$.

$$[a] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, [b] = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & -\infty \end{pmatrix}, [c] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ 0 & -\infty \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[Bb] = (3 & 2) > (0 & 1) = [Ac] \quad \Leftarrow \text{ remove this rule}$$

$$[Aa] = (0 & 3) \ge (0 & 1) = [Bc]$$

$$[a^2] = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \ge \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = [bc], \ [b^2] = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = [ac]$$

$$[c^2] = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \ge \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = [ab]$$

$${}_{\text{Workshop on Termination, Paris, June 07 - p.8/11}$$

Quasi-periodic interpretations

$$\begin{split} R &= \{b^3 \rightarrow a^3, a^3 \rightarrow bab\},\\ \mathrm{DP}(R) \text{ reduced to } \{Bb^2 \rightarrow Aa^2, Aa^2 \rightarrow Bab\} \end{split}$$

QPI (period 3) maps 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ... to: a 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, ... b 3, 3, 3, 6, 6, 6, ... A 2, 4, 5, 5, 7, 8, ... B 3, 4, 4, 6, 7, 7, ...

interpretation of rules

 $b^{3} \quad 9, 9, 9, 12, 12, 12, \dots \quad Bb^{2} \quad 9, 9, 9, 12, 12, 12, \dots \\ a^{3} \quad 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, \dots \quad Aa^{2} \quad 7, 8, 8, 10, 11, 11, \dots \\ bab \quad 6, 6, 6, 9, 9, 9, \dots \quad Bab \quad 7, 7, 7, 10, 10, 10, \dots$

Workshop on Termination, Paris, June 07 - p.9/11

QPI and Arctic Matrices

Theorem: for each QPI with slope 1 and period d, there is an equivalent (= removes same rules) arctic interpretation of dimension $d \times d$.

example:
$$[A] : \frac{0 |1|2}{2 |4|5}, \quad [A]'' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

invariant: $[w](x) = d \cdot k + y \iff [w]''_{y,x} = k.$
 $[a]'' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, [b]'' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, [B]'' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Discussion

open questions:

- compare arctic power with standard power
- separate arctic dimensions
- arctic termination for TRS (via monotone algebra approach)

related work:

 Roberto Amadio: max plus quasi interpretations, TLCA 2003 (using polynomials = convex piecewise linear functions)